

B.Roy Dymont
NORTHAM
#1080 Tyne Valley RR#1
P.E.I. CA

Aug. 24, 04

P.E.I.
Electoral Boundary Commission
Charlottetown P.E.I.
P.O. #774
C1A 7L3
FAX-902-368-8683

Dear Sirs, Madame

In regards to the proposed dispersal and envisioned, realignment of riding s in the Province of P.E.I. This letter is on my behalf as an elector in the now existing riding of Cascumpec Grand River. Some of the points I would like to express are as follows.

A suggested in your preliminary report PG#19 paragraph 2

That with in the rural community 's there exists a distinct community of interest that should receive due recognition this being the Evangeline area of our Province,

In reference to this statement and the %'s of 75 Acadian verses 25% Anglo elector's in the proposed new definition of this new riding, Evangeline allows for a distinct representation or riding which would be a direct reverse Of the Charter itself. As envisioned in your report.

Would it not be a foreseeable conclusion that by giving this distinct Electoral district that could entrench an elected MLA with the mandate to represent this riding, which in it is a plausible solution to today's misrepresentation also leave a minority representation along linguistic lines and Cultural identity?

Along the same argument as seen in MacKinnon, verses P.E.I. which used the Canadian charter of rights and freedoms as the block for the argument put forward of under representation in the city areas of this Province as related to the Charter of Rights and freedoms

Would it not in itself by a division of the Cascumpec Grandriver riding as seen in the Last Election to the new District of Evangeline which would encompass 25% of these electors or the 25% Anglo voters cause the proposed new Riding to be argued under the Charter of Rights and freedoms to be the yardstick to measure the rights a expected without linguistic and Cultural diversity to be duly recognized in this new riding,

A far more plausible solution would be to allow the proposed Evangeline riding to be just that riding that represents its Cultural and economic diversity as seen with a variance of 25% which is allowable Under t he formula as equated to the expected influx of rural electors to the City Limits.

I WOULD ALSO QUESTION THE COMMISSIONS REFERENCE TO ECONOMIC TIES TO DIDTRIBUTE THEIR RIDING GUIDELINES – HISTORICALLY THE GREATER PART OF Economic ties In the now Cascumpec Grandriver riding, has been either to the Summerside region or the O'Leary region , this has been the goal of the Now in Power Government to locate our Industrial and everyday needs in these locales as per Access PEI sites and the likes.,

Historically the shifting of the south side of the Northam rode into this new district Or the equivalent of 390 electors or 9% of the total riding as seen today would have a much greater determent than is envisioned by your commission.

A more plausible solution or as seen by 44 % of Electors being in Prince county would be to either leave as is or distribute the new ridings along a more Historical representation as related to Cultural and Economic ties.

In conclusion I would question as suggested earlier would this riding as mentioned of Evangeline as seen by this report lend itself to a decision as all ready seen in rulings by the supreme court of Canada Figueroa Verses Canada

That such a misrepresentation or direct governance to create such a dilemma. Is an infringement as recognized by the Charter, that each and every voter should have equal representation or to at least have meaningful role in such proceedings?

Dear Sirs and Madame,

In closing I thank you for your consideration in this matter and feel confident that your commission will not only take into consideration each and everyone's right to fair and equal representations, but also that each and every voter will also have fair and equal representation, not only along economic ties but also along Cultural guidelines as well,

Yours sincerely

B. Roy Dymant

